<u>Dr. NTR UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES: A.P: VIJAYAWADA - 8</u> <u>STATUS OF THE APPLICATIONS AFTER SYNOPSIS PRESENTATION</u> <u>FOR ADMISSIONS INTO Ph.D. COURSE, 2019-20</u>

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
1	202003	Dr.Kalapala Abhilash	Community Medicine	Not presented the synopsis. Hence, not recommended.	Not Recommended
2	202004	Dr.Madala Venkateswara Rao	Anatomy & Biochemistry	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Revise the inclusion and excluision criteria. 2. Establish the Novelty of the study. 3. Clearly mention the sampling technique and random sampling. 4. Clarify the statistical analysis. 5. Study requires technical support of Genetics specialist	Communication will be sent shortly
3	202005	Ms.Thimmappa Pushpalatha	Physiology	Not Recommended for the following reasons 1. Lack of clarity in methodology. 2. The candidate is not able to clarify whether it is interventional or observational study. 3. Not able to define the control group clearly.	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
4	202006	Mr.P Eswara Rao	Biochemistry	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Clarify the precision rate. 2. Address the attrition rate. 3. clarify the patient follow up method. 4. Clarify the expected outcome.	Communication will be sent shortly
5	202007	Ms.Ananthoju Viswasanthi	Pharmacology	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Attrition rate has to be included. 2. Interpretation on compliance has to be explained. 3. Follow blinding of patients. 4. Standardize confounding factors. (Diet, Lifestyle modifications).	Communication will be sent shortly
6	202008	Ms.Nirmala Jyothi Manda	General Medicine & Optholmalogy	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.follow up of the cases should be done. 2.Modify the sampling techniques.	Communication will be sent shortly
7	202009	Mr.Medanki Anil Kumar	Anatomy	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. Lack of Novelty. 2. Expected out come is not mentioned clearly. 3. Sample size is not mentioned. 4. IEC is not submitted.	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
8	202010	Ms.Patchava Lakshmi Deepika	Pharmacology	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Define the low carbohydrate diet. 2.Make only three groups by excluding life style modifications group. 3.Exclude gestational diabetes patients	Communication will be sent shortly
9	202011	Dr.K.Roja Ramani	General Surgery & Pulmonology	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Couldn't establish novelty of the study. 2.sample size calculation is not done properly. 3.Sample size is less.	Not Recommended
10	202013	Dr.Hima Silpa Cherukulah	Periodontics	Recommended subject to following modifications: Submission of CDSCO registration of IEC, since the study is a drug trail.	Communication will be sent shortly
11	202014	Dr.Harsha M	Oral Pathology & Microbiology	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Couldn't establish the novelty of the study. 2.Most of the reseach proposal is taken from a published article(Int J of legal Medicine 2020)	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
12	202015	Dr.Manti Vijaya Lakshmi	Oral Pathology & Microbiology	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Advised to add comparitive groups (Saliva, Brush Biopsy and micro - Biopsy) 2. Advised to modify it as a longitudinal study. 3.Get the Re-approval from IEC after adding these parameters.	Communication will be sent shortly
13	202016	Dr.Kuchanapalli Padma	Kayachikitsa & Rasashastra Bhaisalya Kalpana	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Add comparitive group. 2.Clearly mention the inclusion and exclusion criteria. 3.Include methods to establish safety of the drug. 4.Record adverse effects of the Drug during the study.	Communication will be sent shortly
14	202017	Ms.Zakkam Rojaramani	Medical Surgical Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Sample size is not adequate. 2.Study tools are not validated. 3.Operational definition for over usage of mobile is not discussed.	Not Recommended
15	202018	Ms.Vijaya Tulasi Bantu	Medical Surgical Nursing	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Clearly mention the plan of follow up 2. Consider the attrition data rate. 3. Charecteristics of the samples should be clear.	Communication will be sent shortly

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
16	202019	Ms.Puram Sunil Kumari	Child Health Nursiing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. Lack of novelty. 2. Setting of the study-not clear. 3. Expected Outcome-not clear. 4. Operational definitions-not clear. 5. Sample selection method-not clear. 6. Contents of structured teaching program-not defined. 7. Sample size determination-not clear.	Not Recommended
17	202020	Mrs.Indira Sharmila Paramata	Child Health Nursiing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Couldn't define pediatric tuberculosis. 2.Study setting is not clear. 3.No clarity in research methodology. 4.Method of selection of participants is not clear.	Not Recommended
18	202021	Ms.Kolaneedi Anuradha	Child Health Nursiing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Research methodology is not clear. 2.Title is not corelating with methodology. 3.Expected outcome is not clear	Not Recommended
19	202022	Ms.Aruna Kumari V	Community Health Nusing	Recommended. Advised to conduct the study under the supervision of a General Surgeon.	Communication will be sent shortly

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
20	202023	Mrs.Krothapalli Susila	Medical Surgical Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Couldn't define osteoporosis. 2.Couldn't schedule the work plan at place of research work. 3.Sample size calculations is not clear. 4.No clarity in methodology. 5.Lack of novelty.	Not Recommended
21	202024	Mrs.Usha Pannaga Veni Dadi	Medical Surgical Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. Couldn't explain the role of ketogenic diet in the treatment effectiveness of epilepsy. 2. Lack of clarity on sample size determinations. 3. The candidate has not planned the work schedule at the place of research work.	Not Recommended
22	202025	Ms.Malluru Vajramma	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Add wok place on the tiltle. 2.Refine attitude scales. 3.Add measurement of self efficacy. 4.NOC from DME has to be submitted.	Communication will be sent shortly

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
23	202026	Mrs.Yengalasetty Padmaja	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. Nursing interventions are not mentioned clearly. 2. The candidate has changed the title of the reseach protocol without consent of the University. 3. Research methodology is not clear.	Not Recommended
24	202027	Mrs.Bulle Ananthamma	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Not presented the synopsis. Hence, not recommended.	Not Recommended
25	202029	Mrs.M.R.Subhodaya	Community Medicine	Recommended: 1.NOC from DME has to be submitted along with bond and registration fee.	Communication will be sent shortly
26	202030	Mrs.S.Lalitha Kumari	Community Health Nusing	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Clearly define the exclusion criteria. 2.Clearly mention the sample size calculation. 3.Include teachers from Govt. schools in the study as another group. 4.NOC from DME has to be submitted.	Communication will be sent shortly
27	202031	Mrs.Gudavalli Veera Raghavamma	Medical Surgical Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. Title is not matching with methodology. 2. Research methodology is not clear. 3. Lack of Novelty.	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
28	202032	Mrs. Chinthapoodi Sakkubai	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1.Resaerch design is not proper. 2.Followup methods are not clear. 3.Expected outcome is not clear. 4.Exclusion criteria is not clear.	Not Recommended
29	202033	Mrs.V.Babitha Rani	Community Health Nusing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. The title of the research proposal for which the IEC clearance was given is different from the title of the research proposal submitted by the candidate in the compliance report. 2. Title is not co-relating with methodology. 3. The candidate has not got permission from concerned authorities to collect the data from ART centre.	Not Recommended
30	202035	Mrs.Tokala Sujatha	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. The tiltle of the research proposal for which the IEC clearance was given is different from the title of the research proposal submitted by the cnadidtae in the compliance report. 2. Research methodology is not clear. 3. Expected outcome is not clear.	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
31	202036	Mrs.Narakatla Neelavathi	Obsterics & Gynaecology Nursing	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1.Research methodology has to be modified. 2.NOC from DME has to be submitted.	Communication will be sent shortly
32	202039	Mr.Kiran Prakash Pappala	Neurology & Orthopedics	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Clarify the sample size determination. 2. Include the attrition rate. 3. Patients grouping has to be done properly (make four groups).	Communication will be sent shortly
33	202040	Mr.Rahul Shaik	Neurology	Recommended subject to following modifications: 1. Patients have to be regrouped. 2. Study has to be done under the supervision of Neurologist.	Communication will be sent shortly
34	202042	Ms.Rayudu Geetha Mounika	Orthopaedics	Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. The research project (topic) is not focussing on major issue over adhesive Capsulitis.	Not Recommended

S.No	Reg no	Name of the Candidate	Subject	Remarks of the committee	Office Remarks
35	202043	Ms.Pilladi R Sri Thulasi		Not Recommended for the following reasons: 1. The research project(study) is not having scientific base.	Not Recommended

Sd/-REGISTRAR